



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/644,082	08/20/2003	Tomohiro Shinoda	3022-0018	4712	
20457 75	90 08/24/2004		EXAMI	NER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			NGUYEN	NGUYEN, KIM T	
1300 NORTH S	EVENTEENTH STREET				
<b>SUITE 1800</b>			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTON,	VA 22209-9889		3713	-	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			X/I		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	4		
Office Action Cumment	10/644,082	SHINODA, TOMOHIRO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1		
	Kim Nguyen	3713	1		
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		mely filed  ys will be considered time the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	·		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims			•		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and.	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers			•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		, ,	ED 1 121/d\		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority document of:  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document of:  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the certified co	nts have been received.  Ints have been received in Applicate  Iority documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this Nationa	l Stage		
Attachment(s)	, <b>—</b>	(DTO 110)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	•			
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/18/03 & 1/14/04.		<del></del>	O-152)		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishizaki et al (US patent No. 6,616,535).
- a. As per claim 1, Nishizaki discloses a gaming machine comprising data reading means for reading game data from an IC card (col. 15, lines 24-25); and data transmission means for transmitting the game data (col. 16, lines 11-13). Nishizaki does not explicitly disclose that the IC chip card is a token. However, configuring an IC card in a shape of token would have been well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to configure the IC chip card of Nishizaki in a shape of token in order to simulate a real token normally used in the casino.
- b. As per claim 2, Nishizaki discloses stopping commencement of a game based on the initial or game history data (col. 16, lines 11-19). Further, signaling stop command to a controller would have been well known.

Application/Control Number: 10/644,082

Art Unit: 3713

c. As per claim 3, Nishizaki discloses stopping the game based on the degree of different

Page 3

between the pre-recorded data and the game initial data (col. 16, lines 28-36).

d. As per claim 4, refer to discussion in claim 1 above. Further, Nishizaki discloses

acquiring the game initial data and storing the data (col. 9, lines 26-32).

e. As per claim 5, refer to discussion in claims 2-3 above.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kim Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-7915. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:3OAM to 5:OOPM ET.

The central official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

kn

Date: August 18, 2004

PRIMARY EXAMINER